arXiv:1412.4516v1 [cond-mat.soft] 15 Dec 2014

Scaling behavior of topologically constrained polymer rings in a melt

Benjamin Trefz[1,2] and Peter Virnau[1]

[1] *Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Department of Physics, Staudingerweg 7, 55128 Mainz, Germany*
[2] *Graduate School Material Science in Mainz, Staudinger Weg 9, 55128 Mainz, Germany*

(Dated: December 16, 2014)

Large scale molecular dynamics simulations on graphic processing units (GPUs) are employed to study the scaling behavior of ring polymers with various topological constraints in melts. Typical sizes of rings containing 3_1, 5_1 knots and catenanes made up of two unknotted rings scale like $N^{1/3}$ in the limit of large ring sizes N. This is consistent with the crumpled globule model and similar findings for unknotted rings. For small ring lengths knots occupy a significant fraction of the ring. The scaling of typical ring sizes for small N thus depends on the particular knot type and the exponent is generally larger than 0.4.

Keywords: Knots; Molecular Dynamics; GPU; Ring melts; Scaling behavior

I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the properties of ring polymer melts is one of the remaining major challenges in theoretical and experimental polymer physics [1–10]. The topic became even more popular when ring melts were proposed as a model for DNA organization in a cell nucleus [11]: Chromatin fibers are highly packed and occupy "territories" [12] just like unconcatenated rings in melts. [6–8, 13].

Unlike linear polymers, rings cannot change their topological constraints once they are created, as bond crossings are not allowed. Thus, their behavior differs substantially from open chains. In a melt of open polymers the typical size of a chain scales like $R_g \propto N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ [14] for large N, where N stands for the number of monomers in the chain. In rings three different scaling regimes are present: For small chain lengths the radius of gyration scales with $R_g \propto N^{\frac{1}{2}}$, in an intermediate regime with $R_g \propto N^{\frac{2}{5}}$, while for long chain lengths a proportionality of $R_g \propto N^{\frac{1}{3}}$ [2, 3, 7, 8, 15, 16] will be reached. This asymptotic regime is generally associated with the crumpled globule concept from Grosberg et al. [17] which states that the polymer ring collapses to a sphere-like object.

Although knots are seldom considered in theoretical derivations of scaling laws they become abundant in polymers and DNA for long chain lengths [18, 19]. Even in relatively short proteins, knots have been reported [20–30] and also created artificially [31]. Topoisomerases are known to remove [32] or create [33] knots in DNA, which could otherwise inhibit transcription and replication. Viral DNA is known to be highly knotted inside capsids [34–38]. Artificial knots have been tied in single DNA molecules with optical tweezers and dynamics have been studied both experimentally [39] and with computer simulations [40]. Even though most of these examples are open chains and thus not knotted in a strict mathematical sense [41], where knots are only defined in closed curves, they nevertheless raise fundamental questions and challenge our understanding of topics as diverse as DNA ejection [42] and protein folding [43].

In this paper we aim to combine these two topics and investigate the scaling behavior of topologically constrained polymer rings. To this end we compare a melt of unconcatenated, unknotted rings with their knotted or concatenated counterparts.

In section 2 we describe the polymer model, simulation details, and the induced topological constraints. In section 3 we present our results for the scaling behavior and give a qualitative description of how knot sizes change for various chain lengths. Section 4 presents our conclusions.

II. MODEL AND SIMULATION TECHNIQUES

We simulate 200 ring polymers at a density of $\rho = 0.85\sigma^{-3}$ in a simulation box with periodic boundary conditions. Chain lengths N vary from 100 monomers to up to 3,200 monomers per polymer so the simulation box contains between 20,000 and 640,000 monomers. The temperature is set to $T = 1.0\epsilon/k_B$ and is controlled with a Langevin thermostat. We use the open source software HooMD blue [44] and simulate each system on a Geforce GTX480 graphics card.

For each system size $2 \cdot 10^9$ MD steps with a time step of $\Delta t = 0.01$ are simulated. The polymer model is based on [7]:

$$U_{\mathrm{lj}}(r_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 4\epsilon\left[(\sigma/r_{ij})^{12} - (\sigma/r_{ij})^{6}\right] + \epsilon, & r_{ij} \leq 2^{1/6}\sigma \\ 0, & r_{ij} > 2^{1/6}\sigma \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

$$U_{\mathrm{fene}}(r_{ij}) = \begin{cases} -0.5\ k\ R_0^2\ \ln\left[1 - (r_{ij}/R_0)^2\right], & r_{ij} < R_0 \\ \infty, & r_{ij} \geq R_0 \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

$$U_{\mathrm{angle}}(\theta_i) = \frac{1}{2} k_\theta \left(\theta_i - \pi\right)^2 \quad , \tag{3}$$

with $k = 30\ \epsilon/\sigma^2$, $R_0 = 1.5\ \sigma$, $k_\theta = 1.5\ \epsilon$. In contrast to [7] we employ the harmonic potential implemented in HooMD. Nevertheless, we expect that the results are very similar to [7] as equation 3 is essentially the second order Taylor expansion of the angular potential used by [7].

Therefore, the entanglement length of this model system is expected to be around $N_e \approx 28$ [7].



FIG. 1. Schematic drawings of the examined topologies: (a) unknot (b) trefoil (3_1), (c) cinquefoil (5_1), and (d) catenane.

To investigate the influence of topology on the scaling of ring sizes in a melt we looked at various topologically constrained ring melts (see figure 1 for schematic drawings). We study unknotted rings (figure 1(a)), knotted rings with a trefoil (3_1) knot (figure 1(b)) and with a cinquefoil (5_1) knot (figure 1(c)), and concatenated rings (catenane) (figure 1(d)). The model we use does not allow for bond crossings so that the initial constraints will be present at all times. To confirm this, we calculate the Alexander polynomial [45] for each knotted ring every 10^6 MD steps. Additionally, we check that there are no bond crossings between the polymers via a primitive path analysis for all topologies [46]. To obtain the initial



FIG. 2. Production runs to determine the radius of gyration for unconstrained ring melts. The ring length N varies from $N = 100$ (bottom) to $N = 3{,}200$ (top).

state we start with an already equilibrated configuration for a smaller ring length and put a monomer between each neighboring monomers on a polymer ring. We then rescale the system by a factor of $\sqrt[3]{2}$ in all dimensions and relax the system during a short ($3 \cdot 10^6$ MD steps) equilibration run. With this trick a prolonged equilibration period can be avoided. Nevertheless, each data point typically required several weeks of computation time on a single GPU. The largest systems ($N = 3200$) ran for about 7 months.

III. RESULTS

To characterize the scaling behavior we calculate the squared radius of gyration $< R_g^2 >$ by averaging over all 200 ring polymers every 10^6 MD steps. Additionally, we monitor the squared mid-to-mid radius $< R_e^2 >$, by averaging over the vector which connects the ith monomer to the $i + N/2$th monomer.

By plotting the average squared radius of gyration or the mid-to-mid radius against the chain length N (see figure 3) we can obtain the scaling behavior by fitting a power law [1] of the form $R^2(N) = a \cdot N^{2\nu}$.

Topology	R_g		R_e	
	$2\nu_1$	$2\nu_2$	$2\nu_1$	$2\nu_2$
rings	0.779	0.689	0.740	0.679
3_1 knots	0.912	0.700	0.940	0.683
5_1 knots	0.966	0.706	1.050	0.699
catenanes	0.809	0.711	0.762	0.707

TABLE I. Exponents for the chain length dependency of the radius of gyration and the mid-to-mid radius obtained by fitting the function $R^2(N) = a \cdot N^{2\nu_1}$ to the data points with $N \leq 400$ and $R^2(N) = b \cdot N^{2\nu_2}$ for the region $N \geq 800$ as shown in figure 3a. For unconstrained rings the expected exponents are $2\nu_1 = 0.8$ and $2\nu_2 = \frac{2}{3}$.

For all topologies we observe a scaling exponent compatible with $\nu_2 \approx \frac{1}{3}$ as predicted by the crumpled globule model [17] (see table I). For small systems, however, knots occupy a significant fraction of the polymer (see figure 4) resulting in a denser configuration with a smaller radius of gyration. This effect levels off (see figure 5) around the transition to the crumpled globule states (between 400 and 800 monomers). Hence, the effective exponent ν_1 exceeds the predicted value of 0.4 for unconstrained rings. The catenanes behave very similar to the unconstrained rings, and the radius of gyration scales with the same exponent (see figure 3). Still, they are slightly larger than their unconstrained counterparts (see

[1] It should be noted that our data only spans a bit more than a decade and exponents are typically obtained by fitting only three points.



(a)



(b)

FIG. 3. (a) Scaling behavior of the squared radius of gyration for polymer rings with various topological constraints. (b) Scaling behavior of the squared mid-to-mid radius for polymer rings with various topological constraints.



FIG. 4. Typical snapshots: (a) 5_1 knot with ring size $N = 100$, the knotted part is colored in red. (b) Ring with the same ring size, but without constraint. Configurations were chosen to have typical radii of gyration. For small N the knotted part leads to smaller, more compact conformations.



FIG. 5. Ratio of radius of gyration for systems with topological constraint and the corresponding radius of the unconstrained ring melt. The influence of a knot on a ring is lessened with increasing chain length N. The concatenated rings (catenane) are slightly larger than the free rings, as they have to stay in pairs of two.

figure 5) for all ring sizes N. This is expected, as the catenanes have to stay in pairs of two and can thus not relax as easily as the unconstrained rings.

For knotted rings figure 5 suggests that the influence of the knot on the total size decreases as a function of N. Unfortunately, it is very difficult to determine sizes of knots in rings [6, 48] and our preliminary findings are not completely conclusive. To determine knot sizes we have followed a so called top-down approach [6]: We cut the chain at a random point and remove beads successively until the knot disappears. The remaining beads are essential and determine the knot size. However, if we cut inside the knot the analysis does not yield meaningful results. The closure may also lead to additional distortions. By considering multiple random starting points and analyzing only the subset of data which yields consistent sizes for at least half of the starting points, we have determined the most likely knot size as 40 monomers for the trefoil knot and 51 monomers for the 5_1 knot for ring size $N = 100$. For larger rings the most likely size is similar, but the distribution has a larger tail.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have performed large scale molecular dynamics simulation on graphic processing units (GPUs) to study the scaling behavior of unknotted ring polymers, knotted rings with a trefoil (3_1) knot and with a cinquefoil (5_1) knot, and concatenated rings in a melt. For large N the rings scale with roughly $N^{1/3}$ for all topological constraints, and the transition takes place at about the same ring sizes. These findings for large ring sizes are consistent with the crumpled globule model. For small

ring lengths knots occupy a significant fraction of the ring. The scaling of typical ring sizes for small N thus depends on the particular knot type and the exponent is generally larger than 0.4.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

B.T. and P.V. would like to acknowledge the MAINZ Graduate School of Excellence for financial support and the ZDV Mainz for computational resources.

[1] Michael Rubinstein. Dynamics of ring polymers in the presence of fixed obstacles. *Physical review letters*, 57(24):3023, 1986.

[2] ME Cates and JM Deutsch. Conjectures on the statistics of ring polymers. *Journal de physique*, 47(12):2121–2128, 1986.

[3] M. Müller, JP Wittmer, and ME Cates. Topological effects in ring polymers: A computer simulation study. *Physical Review E*, 53(5):5063, 1996.

[4] M. Müller, JP Wittmer, and ME Cates. Topological effects in ring polymers. II. Influence of persistence length. *Physical Review E*, 61(4):4078, 2000.

[5] M Kapnistos, M Lang, D Vlassopoulos, W Pyckhout-Hintzen, D Richter, D Cho, T Chang, and M Rubinstein. Unexpected power-law stress relaxation of entangled ring polymers. *Nature materials*, 7(12):997–1002, 2008.

[6] Angelo Rosa, Enzo Orlandini, Luca Tubiana, and Cristian Micheletti. Structure and dynamics of ring polymers: entanglement effects because of solution density and ring topology. *Macromolecules*, 44(21):8668–8680, 2011.

[7] J.D. Halverson, W.B. Lee, G.S. Grest, A.Y. Grosberg, and K. Kremer. Molecular dynamics simulation study of nonconcatenated ring polymers in a melt. I. Statics. *The Journal of chemical physics*, 134:204904, 2011.

[8] J.D. Halverson, W.B. Lee, G.S. Grest, A.Y. Grosberg, and K. Kremer. Molecular dynamics simulation study of nonconcatenated ring polymers in a melt. II. Dynamics. *The Journal of chemical physics*, 134:204905, 2011.

[9] Jonathan D Halverson, Gary S Grest, Alexander Y Grosberg, and Kurt Kremer. Rheology of ring polymer melts: From linear contaminants to ring-linear blends. *Physical review letters*, 108(3):038301, 2012.

[10] Erez Lieberman-Aiden, Nynke L van Berkum, Louise Williams, Maxim Imakaev, Tobias Ragoczy, Agnes Telling, Ido Amit, Bryan R Lajoie, Peter J Sabo, Michael O Dorschner, et al. Comprehensive mapping of long-range interactions reveals folding principles of the human genome. *science*, 326(5950):289–293, 2009.

[11] A Grosberg, Y Rabin, S Havlin, and A Neer. Crumpled globule model of the three-dimensional structure of DNA. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 23(5):373, 1993.

[12] Thomas Cremer and Christoph Cremer. Chromosome territories, nuclear architecture and gene regulation in mammalian cells. *Nature reviews genetics*, 2(4):292–301, 2001.

[13] Julien Dorier and Andrzej Stasiak. Topological origins of chromosomal territories. *Nucleic acids research*, 37(19):6316–6322, 2009.

[14] Pierre-Gilles de Gennes. *Scaling concepts in polymer physics*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca ; London, 1979.

[15] D. Reith, L. Mirny, and P. Virnau. GPU Based Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Polymer Rings in Concentrated Solution: Structure and Scaling. *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement*, 191:135–145, 2011.

[16] T. Vettorel, A.Y. Grosberg, and K. Kremer. Statistics of polymer rings in the melt: a numerical simulation study. *Physical biology*, 6:025013, 2009.

[17] A.Y. Grosberg, SK Nechaev, EI Shakhnovich, et al. The role of topological constraints in the kinetics of collapse of macromolecules. *Journal de physique*, 49(12):2095–2100, 1988.

[18] HL Frisch and E. Wasserman. Chemical Topology. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 83(18):3789–3795, 1961.

[19] M. Delbrück. Knotting problems in biology. In *Proc. Symp. Appl. Math*, volume 14, page 55, 1962.

[20] M. L. Mansfield. Are There Knots in Proteins. *Nature Structural Biology*, 1(4):213–214, 1994.

[21] W.R. Taylor. A deeply knotted protein structure and how it might fold. *Nature*, 406(6798):916–919, 2000.

[22] R.C. Lua and A.Y. Grosberg. Statistics of knots, geometry of conformations, and evolution of proteins. *PLoS computational biology*, 2(5):e45, 2006.

[23] Peter Virnau, Leonid A. Mirny, and Mehran Kardar. Intricate Knots in Proteins: Function and Evolution, April 02 2007.

[24] P. Virnau, A. Mallam, and S. Jackson. Structures and folding pathways of topologically knotted proteins. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 23:033101, 2011.

[25] Raffaello Potestio, Cristian Micheletti, and Henri Orland. Knotted vs. Unknotted Proteins: Evidence of Knot-Promoting Loops. *Plos Computational Biology*, 6(7), 2010. Potestio, Raffaello/H-6457-2013.

[26] Daniel Boelinger, Joanna I. Sulkowska, Hsiao-Ping Hsu, Leonid A. Mirny, Mehran Kardar, Jose N. Onuchic, and Peter Virnau. A Stevedore's Protein Knot. *Plos Computational Biology*, 6(4), 2010.

[27] O. Nureki, K. Watanabe, S. Fukai, R. Ishii, Y. Endo, H. Hori, and S. Yokoyama. Deep knot structure for construction of active site and cofactor binding site of tRNA modification enzyme. *Structure*, 12(4):593–602, 2004.

[28] G. Kolesov, P. Virnau, M. Kardar, and L. A. Mirny. Protein knot server: detection of knots in protein structures. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 35:W425–W428, 2007.

[29] A. L. Mallam and S. E. Jackson. Folding studies on a knotted protein. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 346(5):1409–1421, 2005.

[30] Michal Jamroz, Wanda Niemyska, Eric J Rawdon, Andrzej Stasiak, Kenneth C Millett, Piotr Sułkowski, and Joanna I Sulkowska. KnotProt: a database of proteins with knots and slipknots. *Nucleic acids research*, page gku1059, 2014.

[31] N. P. King, A. W. Jacobitz, M. R. Sawaya, L. Goldschmidt, and T. O. Yeates. Structure and folding of a designed knotted protein. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107(48):20732–20737, 2010.

[32] L. F. Liu, C. C. Liu, and B. M. Alberts. Type-Ii DNA Topoisomerases - Enzymes That Can Un-Knot a Topologically Knotted DNA Molecule Via a Reversible Double-Strand Break. *Cell*, 19(3):697–707, 1980.

[33] FB Dean, A Stasiak, T Koller, and NR Cozzarelli. Duplex DNA Knots produced by Escherichia-Coli Topoisomerase-I - Structure and Requirements for Formation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 260(8):4975–4983, 1985.

[34] J. Arsuaga, M. Vazquez, S. Trigueros, D. W. Sumners, and J. Roca. Knotting probability of DNA molecules confined in restricted volumes: DNA knotting in phage capsids. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 99(8):5373–5377, 2002.

[35] J. Arsuaga, M. Vazquez, P. McGuirk, S. Trigueros, D. W. Sumners, and J. Roca. DNA knots reveal a chiral organization of DNA in phage capsids. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 102(26):9165–9169, 2005.

[36] D. Marenduzzo and C. Micheletti. Thermodynamics of DNA packaging inside a viral capsid: The role of DNA intrinsic thickness. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 330(3):485–492, 2003.

[37] D. Marenduzzo, C. Micheletti, and E. Orlandini. Biopolymer organization upon confinement. *Journal of Physics-Condensed Matter*, 22(28):283102, 2010.

[38] Daniel Reith, Peter Cifra, Andrzej Stasiak, and Peter Virnau. Effective stiffening of DNA due to nematic ordering causes DNA molecules packed in phage capsids to preferentially form torus knots. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 40(11):5129–5137, 2012. Virnau, Peter/D-3704-2009.

[39] X.R. Bao, H.J. Lee, and S.R. Quake. Behavior of complex knots in single DNA molecules. *Physical review letters*, 91(26):265506, 2003.

[40] A. Vologodskii. Brownian dynamics simulation of knot diffusion along a stretched DNA molecule. *Biophysical journal*, 90(5):1594–1597, 2006.

[41] KC Millett, EJ Rawdon, A Stasiak, and JI Sulkowska. Identifying knots in proteins. *Biochemical Society Transactions*, 41:533–537, 2013.

[42] R. Matthews, A. A. Louis, and J. M. Yeomans. Knot-Controlled Ejection of a Polymer from a Virus Capsid. *Physical Review Letters*, 102(8), 2009.

[43] J. I. Sulkowska, P. Sulkowski, and J.N. Onuchic. Dodging the crisis of folding proteins with knots. *PNAS*, 106(9):3119–3124, 2009.

[44] Joshua A. Anderson, Chris D. Lorenz, and A. Travesset. General purpose molecular dynamics simulations fully implemented on graphics processing units. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 227(10):5342–5359, 2008.

[45] P. Virnau. Detection and visualization of physical knots in macromolecules. *Physics Procedia*, 6:117–125, 2010.

[46] Ralf Everaers, Sathish K Sukumaran, Gary S Grest, Carsten Svaneborg, Arvind Sivasubramanian, and Kurt Kremer. Rheology and microscopic topology of entangled polymeric liquids. *Science*, 303(5659):823–826, 2004.

[47] It should be noted that our data only spans a bit more than a decade and exponents are typically obtained by fitting only three points.

[48] Luca Tubiana, Enzo Orlandini, and Cristian Micheletti. Probing the entanglement and locating knots in ring polymers: a comparative study of different arc closure schemes. *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement*, 191:192–204, 2011.